USDA-ARS | U.S. Wheat and Barley Scab Initiative

FY21 Performance Progress Report

Due date: July 26, 2022

Cover Page

Stephen Wegulo	
University of Nebraska	
swegulo2@unl.edu	
402-472-8735	
2021	
59-0206-0-191	
Integrated Management of Fusarium Head Blight and DON in Winter	
Wheat and Barley	
\$16,950	
University of Nebraska	
Department of Plant Pathology	
448 Plant Science Hall,	
Lincoln, NE 68583	
55-545-6995	
47-0049123	
25-6222-1021-001	
5/15/21 - 5/14/23	
5/14/2022	

USWBSI Individual Project(s)

USWBSI Research		
Category*	Project Title	ARS Award Amount
MGMT-IM	Integrating Strategies to Mitigate Fusarium Head Blight and DON in Winter Wheat	\$16,950
	FY21 Total ARS Award Amount	\$16,950

		21 Total Allo Award Allount	710,5
I am submitting this report as an:	X Annual Report	☐ Final Report	
I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief purposes set forth in the award documents.	that this report is correct a	nd complete for performance of activ	ities for the
Manlos		July 22, 2022	
Principal Investigator Signature		Date Report Submitted	

MGMT – FHB Management

MGMT-IM – FHB Management – Integrated Management Coordinated Project

PBG – Pathogen Biology & Genetics

TSCI – Transformational Science

VDHR – Variety Development & Uniform Nurseries NWW –Northern Soft Winter Wheat Region

SPR – Spring Wheat Region

SWW – Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Region

BAR-CP – Barley Coordinated Project
DUR-CP – Durum Coordinated Project
EC-HQ – Executive Committee-Headquarters
FST-R – Food Safety & Toxicology (Research)
FST-S – Food Safety & Toxicology (Service)
GDER – Gene Discovery & Engineering Resistance
HWW-CP – Hard Winter Wheat Coordinated Project

PI: Wegulo, Stephen | Agreement #: 59-0206-0-191

Project 1: Integrating Strategies to Mitigate Fusarium Head Blight and DON in Winter Wheat

1. What are the major goals and objectives of the research project?

The overall goal of this research was to integrate cultivar resistance with fungicide application to effectively manage FHB and DON in winter wheat. The specific objectives were:

- 1) Evaluate the integrated effects of fungicide treatment and genetic resistance on FHB and DON in winter wheat with emphasis on a new fungicide, Miravis Ace
- 2) Enhance communication and end user education/outreach on integrated management of FHB and DON

2. What was accomplished under these goals or objectives? (For each major goal/objective, address these three items below.)

a) What were the major activities?

A field experiment was conducted to investigate the effects of cultivar resistance and fungicide application on FHB and DON in winter wheat. The experiment was located at the University of Nebraska Havelock Research Farm near Lincoln, Nebraska. The experimental design was a split plot in randomized complete blocks with four replications, with cultivars as main plots and fungicide x inoculation treatments as sub-plots. Four cultivars adapted to Nebraska were used: Overland (moderately resistant), Zenda (moderately resistant), Roubidoux (susceptible), and Wesley (susceptible). The fungicide x inoculation treatments were 1) untreated, inoculated check; 2) Prosaro (6.5 fl. oz.) at anthesis, inoculated; 3) Miravis Ace (13.7 fl. oz.) at anthesis, inoculated; 4) Miravis Ace at Feekes 10.3, inoculated; 5) Miravis Ace (13.7 fl. oz.) at anthesis followed by Tebuconazole (4.0 fl oz/A 4-6 days later; 6) BAS8400F at anthesis, inoculated; and 7) untreated, non-inoculated check. Fungicides were applied with a CO2-powered backpack sprayer set at 35 psi, equipped with four Teejet 800-1 VS nozzles, and calibrated to deliver 20 gallons of fungicide-water mixture per acre. In treatments 1 to 6, plots were spray-inoculated with spores of Fusarium graminearum (1 x 105 spores/mL) 24 hours after fungicide application at anthesis. To enhance inoculum buildup in the plots as well as disease development, corn kernel inoculum was spread weekly on the soil surface starting at three weeks before anthesis. FHB intensity was assessed at the soft dough growth stage. At and following harvest, yield, Fusariumdamaged kernels (FDK), and DON concentration were determined. A weather station at the experiment site recorded weather data starting in mid-April through harvest.

b) What were the significant results?

Low levels of FHB developed due to unfavorable weather conditions. The susceptible cultivars Robidoux and Wesley had significantly higher (P = 0.05) FHB index (6.2% and 3.0%, respectively) than the moderately resistant Zenda and Overland (1.0% and 0.4%,

PI: Wegulo, Stephen | Agreement #: 59-0206-0-191

respectively). FDK results were similar, with the susceptible Robidoux having the highest FDK (20.5%) and the moderately resistant Overland having the lowest FDK (5.8%). Index in fungicide treated plots ranged from 1.1% (Miravis Ace at anthesis followed by Folicur 4-6 days later) to 2.5% (Prosaro at anthesis) and was significantly lower than index in the non-treated, inoculated check (5.1%) and the non-treated, non-inoculated check (3.9%). Similarly, FDK was significantly lower in fungicide treated plots (10-13%) than in the two checks (18%). DON was negligible at <0.20-0.23 ppm in all treatments.

c) List key outcomes or other achievements.

The combination of moderate cultivar resistance and application of the fungicides Prosaro, Miravis Ace, and BAS8400F at anthesis most effectively reduced FHB index and FDK.

- 3. What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided? Research technologist Julie Stevens and graduate student Mahnoor Asif attended the 2021 National FHB Forum as part of their professional development. Undergraduate student workers gained research training and experience working on the project.
- 4. How have the results been disseminated to communities of interest?

 Results and FHB management information were disseminated through Nebraska Extension's CropWatch newsletter. The PI made a presentation on FHB, including results from this project, at a Nebraska Extension Alfalfa and Wheat Expo on September 2, 2021.

FY21 USDA-ARS/USWBSI Performance Progress Report

PI: Wegulo, Stephen | Agreement #: 59-0206-0-191

Publications, Conference Papers, and Presentations

Please include a listing of all your publications/presentations about your FHB work that were a result of funding from your FY21 grant award. Only citations for publications <u>published</u> (submitted or accepted) or presentations presented during the award period should be included.

Did you publish/submit or present anything during this award period? ☐ Yes, I've included the citation reference in listing(s) below. ☑ No, I have nothing to report. Journal publications as a result of FY21 grant award List peer-reviewed articles or papers appearing in scientific, technical, or professional journals. Include any peer-reviewed publication in the periodically published proceedings of a scientific society, a conference, or the like. Identify for each publication: Author(s); title; journal; volume: year; page numbers; status of publication (published [include DOI#];

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications as a result of FY21 grant award

accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Report any book, monograph, dissertation, abstract, or the like published as or in a separate publication, rather than a periodical or series. Include any significant publication in the proceedings of a one-time conference or in the report of a one-time study, commission, or the like.

Identify for each one-time publication: Author(s); title; editor; title of collection, if applicable; bibliographic information; year; type of publication (book, thesis or dissertation, other); status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Other publications, conference papers and presentations as a result of FY21 grant award

Identify any other publications, conference papers and/or presentations not reported above. Specify the status of the publication.